1	Ramirez left the station you were the station's, and
2	I think the term you like to use is stand-in general
3	manager. In the months after January 30, 1998, which
4	is, as we understand it, Mr. Ramirez's last day, did
5	you do anything to maintain the public inspection file
6	in any way?
7	A In that period of time I don't recall
8	doing anything to the public inspection file. I
9	would've if I had if I had been prompted to, I
10	certainly would have, by my attorneys or school
11	district. But at that point I didn't don't have
12	any recollection of taking any initiative to do
13	anything.

Q Well, for example, with respect to the first quarter of 1998, January, February, March, did you place in the public inspection file an issues programs list covering that three-month period?

A I don't recall putting an issues program list in it on a specific date and covering the first quarter of '98. And I may have, and certainly if we look at that memo, that email from -- I sent to John Covell, I may have put something in there based on

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	that. But I don't have specific recollection of
2	actually opening, putting in a piece of paper or a
3	list.
4	Q Now, over the next several years, when Mr.
5	Johnson was the station manager, do you recall issues
6	programs lists being put in on a quarterly basis?
7	Into the public file?
8	A I don't recall Mr. Johnson if Mr.
9	Johnson did it or not, no.
10	Q I mean, did you do it?
11	A I don't I wasn't I didn't do it and
12	I don't recall being assigned to do it. No.
13	Q Now, I'm going to read, referring to a
14	different matter altogether
15	MR. PRICE: Your Honor, before we move on,
16	I just wanted to bring to your attention, and we may
17	get a better copy, or the exact copy we're looking for
18	of the NAB Council memo that Mr. Shook was asking the
19	witness about.
20	We did produce in discovery months ago a
21	document that's dated 1997 produced by the NAB
22	entitled Your Public File: What to Keep, What to Toss

2 SFUSD 00276. 3 I note that it's a six-page document, 4 which doesn't quite match up with the eight pages 5 indicated in here, although there may have been a 6 cover page or something as well, so I don't know if 7 the Bureau intends -- just doesn't want to use this document, but if you want to see the '97 version as we 8 wait for the '98, they have a copy and you're welcome 9 10 to our copy as well. 11 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'll take it with me 12 -- well, do you have any objection to my looking at it? 13 14 We'd be perfectly happy MR. SHOOK: No. 15 to have it as an exhibit. We just didn't, we didn't have a really good idea where it fit and who would 16 sponsor it and how it could work. 17 MR. PRICE: We're not sure either. We got 18 19 this from the NAB this fall. This is the request that 20 Ms. Repp made to the NAB for what do you have during 21 that period, for '97. And this is what they gave us. 22 They didn't have the subsequent, they

and Where to Keep It. It's SFUSD Document No. 00271,

1	didn't have the subsequent one, or we didn't ask for
2	'98 as well. So this is what we got and this is what
3	we produced. But, and I'm not sure Mr. Helgeson will
4	be able to tell you whether this is what he saw, but
5	you can certainly ask him.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well. Wait just a second.
7	Yes, I mean I'm answering yes, but let me tell you
8	what I'm answering yes to. I think we ought to have
9	that packaged up as an exhibit and then put it in
LO	front of him and let him see if he can, if he can
.1	MR. SHOOK: I'd be perfectly happy to have
L2	that happen.
L3	JUDGE SIPPEL: I mean, there's no sense in
L4	doing this piecemeal. I'm more interested in can
L 5	you, can you and Kathy take care of that by the time
-6	we come back after lunch break?
L7	MR. SHOOK: Oh, certainly.
.8	MR. PRICE: I mean, we just have our copy
.9	here. I suspect they have the copy we gave them, or
20	we can make a copy, we can make some copies at lunch
21	as well. It's easy enough.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, it's --

1	MR. SHOOK: And then one thing that we
2	could do now is we could present this document to Mr.
3	Helgeson and see what if anything he can
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Exactly.
5	MR. SHOOK: Add.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, exactly. Exactly. Up
7	or down, yea or nay, it's, again, and using your
8	analogy, it's another piece of the puzzle. It's
9	relevant time-wise. It's relevant certainly subject-
10	wise. And who knows what it may spur this witness to
11	recall. Who knows?
12	MR. PRICE: There may be another iteration
13	from closer to the day of the fax, and we can
14	determine that if in fact we get something, but I
15	think this one is relatively close in time.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I think and I
17	think now, this is coming from where? This is
18	coming from
19	
J	MS. REPP: Your Honor
20	MS. REPP: Your Honor MR. PRICE: We made this request to the
20	

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	purposes only. That's a mark that the NAB made on it
2	before they faxed it to us. It looks like they faxed
3	it to us the 21st of October, 2004, and we produced it
4	as part of discovery in this case.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Let me ask you
6	to do this, then. Over the lunch break get it get
7	copies made. Mark it as your next exhibit number, and
8	let's get it into the record and have him testify to
9	it to the best he can.
10	And if it turns out that you get a little
11	another document like that that's in that out of
12	NAB, then we can just add it to that exhibit and have
13	him again hopefully we can do that before he leaves
14	or he leaves town. And see if we can get but this
15	just when is the date on this again?
16	MR. PRICE: This is copyright '97, and it
17	references
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, but does it have a
19	month and a day?
20	MR. PRICE: Yes, well, it doesn't, it
21	doesn't have a month and a day, but what it does have
22	is, it indicates that the NPRM reply comment deadline

	was September 8, '97, suggesting this was very late
2	'97, so it seems pretty reasonable that this
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's got to be
4	MR. PRICE: Is close enough.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, and unless the NAB
6	really came up with a
7	MR. PRICE: The page is
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: With some kind of a major
9	amendment to this by early '98, which one would doubt
10	very much.
11	MR. PRICE: I mean, there still is the
12	page discrepancy on the fax, but I think certainly
13	MR. SHOOK: Well, as far as that goes
14	there is no page discrepancy because the fax cover
15	sheet and there was a second page, or two pages, and
16	then if you add the six, we get to eight, which would
17	correspond.
18	MS. REPP: Could I just point that the fax
19	cover sheet refers to a Model Program
20	MR. PRICE: No, that's a different fax
21	cover sheet.
22	MC PEDD. I'm sorry Okay Never mind

1	is there anything different in the
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, why don't
3	we yes, this you can straighten this out off the
4	record or over lunch or something. I mean, we're
5	going to get back to this and we're going to do it the
6	right way.
7	And also if you would I would ask you
8	this, too if you would ask the witness to read it
9	or somebody read it to him over the lunch hour. So
10	that he has some basic familiarity with it and at
11	least, in the context of reacting to it now. Why
12	don't we go back to the subject at hand, Mr. Shook?
13	MR. SHOOK: Thank you, Your Honor. Mr.
14	Helgeson, according to Ms. Ackerman's testimony, which
15	is SFUSD Exhibit T-4, at pages 1 and 2, she became
16	aware of the license renewal challenge shortly after
17	she took office, which was on August of 2000. Did you
18	tell her about the license renewal challenge?
19	THE WITNESS: I did not speak with Arlene
20	Ackerman.
21	BY MR. SHOOK:
22	Q Have you ever spoken with Arlene Ackerman?

1	A Other than just a very I've never
2	actually had a meeting with Arlene Ackerman. I've
3	seen her. She's visited the station and I said hello
4	and introduced myself, but, among other people there,
5	but I've never met with her or discussed station
6	business with her.
7	Q Do you have any knowledge as to how Ms.
8	Ackerman came to know about the license renewal
9	challenge?
10	A No, I don't know how she came to be aware
11	of it.
12	Q Do you have any knowledge as to whether
13	Ms. Ackerman told Ms. Sawaya about the license renewal
14	challenge before Ms. Sawaya became KALW's general
15	manager?
16	A I don't have any, any recollection of
17	such a conversation.
18	Q Now, according to Ms. Sawaya's testimony,
19	which is SFUSD Exhibit T-3, at page 3, she met you
20	while she was working for NPR. Do you have an
21	approximate date in mind or time in mind as to when
22	you met her?

1	A I'm afraid I don't recall the exact date.
2	She was working for National Public Radio at one
3	point, and it was the job consisted at least
4	part of the job consisted as being a liaison with
5	between National Public Radio and affiliate stations.
6	And I believe she came to visit us as part
7	of that or visit KALW at that time in that role.
8	But I can't recall the exact date or sometime in
9	the mid I would say sometime in the mid '90s, but
10	I'm afraid I can't get more specific.
11	Q So your first recollection is her coming
12	to the station and meeting you there?
13	A She visited the station, she didn't come
14	specifically to meet me, I'm sure.
15	Q In the course of being at the station,
16	though, she met you.
17	A We yes, I think she came in, basically
18	got a little tour of the station, such as it was, and
19	was introduced to whoever was there at that time.
20	Q And did there come a time after that when
21	you met her again?
22	A I recall meeting her again, yes, but I

1	don't recall a specific again, it was maybe at a,
2	just a visit to the station, but again, not so much to
3	meet me, per se.
4	Q Did you ever have occasion to go out to
5	Washington, D.C. to deal with NPR in any way and as a
6	result met her?
7	A I don't ever recall going to NPR and
8	meeting her.
9	Q Now, according to Ms. Sawaya's testimony,
10	SFUSD Exhibit T-3, at page 5, you contacted her in the
11	summer of 2000. Why did you contact her?
12	A I contacted her because by that time she
13	was, had left National Public Radio, she had come back
14	to the Bay Area, San Francisco Bay Area, she had
15	served at the time at the public ratio station in
16	Berkeley, California and I knew she no longer was in
17	that position.
18	And I also knew at the time the school
19	district officials that I was dealing with regarding
20	the station were looking at perhaps making a change as
21	far as getting a general manager, different general
22	manager in the station, and they asked I remember

1	being asked if I knew anybody who might be interested,
2	I think.
3	I don't know if they asked me if I, if I
4	knew anybody who was interested, or if I was
5	interested, and I thought of her, because I knew she
6	was in the area. In fact, she lived in the same part
7	of the city that I did.
8	And so I contacted her, and I don't recall
9	how I got her, her phone number, maybe, but I called
10	her, and she remembered me, and I think we went out
11	and met for coffee one day. And I told her about that
12	the school district's looking for potentially
13	looking for a new station manager for the station.
14	Q When you called her, or between the time
15	you called her and you actually met her for this cup
16	of coffee, that was a relatively brief period?
17	A I would imagine it was we probably just
18	set a date shortly after that phone conversation.
19	Q I mean certainly months didn't elapse
20	between the time of your call and the time of your
21	meeting her for coffee.
22	A I doubt it was a month. No.

1	Q When you spoke with her, was Michael
2	Johnson still at the station as the general manager?
3	A Yes, he was I believe he was still at
4	the station as the general manager at that time. It
5	was summer of 2000. He would have been the general
6	manager still.
7	Q But you knew at that point that a change
8	was going to take place?
9	A I knew at that time that they were looking
10	at possibly changing general managers. The state
11	the school district officials that I was that we
12	that the station that was responsible for the
13	station.
14	Q Somebody told you that a new general
15	manager was in the offing?
16	A They were looking the school district
17	official that was overseeing the station at the time
18	said they were looking at possibly making a change in
19	the general manager.
20	Q Who was this mysterious person?
21	A Oh, his name was Glenston Thompson.
22	Q Now, when you met Ms. Sawaya for coffee,
1	1

1	what, if anything, did you tell her about KALW?
2	A I don't recall the specifics. I remember
3	meeting at a place called the Atlas Café, which was in
4	the Mission District of San Francisco, fairly close to
5	where she lived. And we met for coffee one morning.
6	And we sat at a table, and in the course
7	of the conversation I spoke generally about the
8	station and we spoke about her and what she had been
9	up to, and, and I just I gave her an FYI that there
10	might possibly be an opening for a general manager
11	soon, and if she was interested she should contact Mr.
12	Thompson.
13	Q The whole point of your meeting her was to
14	tell her about this job possibility, wasn't it?
15	A That was, that was the main reason. Yes,
16	that was the reason, to basically let her know, and I
17	didn't know that she was interested or not, but if she
18	was, here's what you might here's the person you
19	should contact.
20	Q So what did you tell her about the
21	station?
22	A I don't recall specifics about what that

I said something specifically about -- what the specifics of that conversation was over coffee at this time. I don't recall. I probably just, if she asked, I gave her a general overview of something. I don't recall what it was.

Q Did you tell her about a license renewal challenge?

A I didn't recall -- I don't recall in that conversation talking to her about the license renewal challenge. At that point, this was summer of 2000, the documents had been filed over two years previously regarding -- and I had been told by the attorneys -- the attorneys had just been telling us just sit and wait. These things do take time.

And so that's all we were doing. And whether I brought it up in the conversation with her on that day at the restaurant I can't recall. I certainly would've told her if it had come to mind if it was like I felt this was an important thing, in that conversation. But again, the point was, if you're -- this is a job, if you have any interest, go see Mr. Thompson.

1	Q Now, in talking to her about the did
2	you talk with her at all about the situation at the
3	station in terms of how many employees there were,
4	whether people were happy or unhappy? Did you get
5	into personnel matters at all?
6	A Like I say, I probably, I probably would
7	have, but I don't have any specifics about telling her
8	about this person or that person or this is what
9	happened last year or giving her specifics.
LO	Q Did you tell her anything about the
11	station's budget?
L2	A I may have, and I don't recall if I got
13	into a specific about the budget.
14	Q I mean, did you tell her that the station
L5	was poor or that it had a lot of money coming in or
16	fund-raising was tough and it wasn't did you tell
17	her anything about that?
18	A I may have, and at this point at that
19	point too I didn't even know if she was interested in
20	the job. So I don't know what specifically I told
21	her. I would have told her given her an honest
22	assessment if I had. And if she asked a question I

would have.

And I certainly wasn't sitting there trying to hide anything from her. I had gone to her because I knew she -- I knew her basically, I knew she was a talented person, and thought this would -- she might be a good fit for KALW.

Q Now, according to Ms. Sawaya's testimony at Exhibit T-3, page 5, as well as her deposition testimony, she subsequently interviewed with a committee from SFUSD that included you. Did you participate in a committee interview of Ms. Sawaya?

A In the fall -- yes, in the fall of that year the school district opened up that job, and by opening up I meant announce it, that -- they requested applications for it. So anybody who wanted to apply for the job could apply for the job.

And their way of -- the school district's way of determining who the best candidate was was to have each applicant interviewed by a small committee.

And the executive, one of the people who worked in the school district's human resources department, asked me to be one of the people on that committee. And so I

so I did interview Ms. Sawaya among others.
In terms of the interview with Ms. Sawaya,
the committee with you?
The committee, I believe, was there
of us that I can recall. Besides myself,
woman named Lisa Duran. And Lisa Duran,
ion was that she works for our independent
ur auditors, and so it was felt, I believe,
ew something about KALW and could ask good
bout the of a general manager candidate.
And the other there was another person
an named Noah Griffen, who was also in
d been in broadcasting in the Bay Area and
ed to be on the committee, the panel of
vell. And then there was myself.
Was Mr. Griffen an employee of the school
No, he wasn't an employee of the school
I think you indicated that Lisa Duran was,
yed by an independent auditor?
That's correct. She was an employee of
-

1	Bunker & Company.
2	Q And that was the entity that had done the
3	auditing for KALW up to that point?
4	A They've been doing the audit of KALW's
5	annual financial audit for several years.
6	Q During the course of this interview, and
7	given that there was this auditor person on the
8	interview panel, were the station's finances
9	discussed?
10	A As I recall the interview process that day
11	we did it over the course of one day I think we
12	interviewed whatever candidates there weren't a lot
13	of candidates but we reviewed we were given a
14	set list of questions to ask each the same, the
15	same questions were asked of each person. So I don't
16	know if the license, the matter of the license
17	challenge was asked to them as part of the question
18	question and answer process.
19	Q Do you remember who gave you those
20	questions?
21	A Since this interview process was all set
22	up by the human resources department of the school
	1

1	district, I would imagine they came up with them.
2	Q Well, what do you remember about this list
3	of questions? Was it a sheet where questions were
4	handwritten, they were typed, I mean, what did you
5	get?
6	A I think we were all given a what we
7	were given each of us Lisa Duran and Noah
8	Griffen and I were each given a printed page that had
9	the questions on it. And somehow each of us was we
10	asked the candidate the question and depending on
11	their answer we scored it in some way.
12	Q It sounds like what we do. Do you have
13	any, do you have any knowledge as to what happened to
14	the question sheet that you had?
15	A After all the interviewing was done, the
16	school district HR person took all our, took all our
17	grade sheets and reviewed them however they review
18	them.
19	Q Now, did Ms. Sawaya ask questions of you
20	three, or was it simply you asking questions of you
21	three asking questions of her?
22	A I believe it was us just asking questions

1	of the candidates.
2	Q At the time of that committee interview,
3	did you tell Ms. Sawaya about the license challenge?
4	A I would've told her about it reading if
5	she was read the question, if that was one of the
6	questions, in that case. But I don't recall if that
7	was, that was anything about the license challenge
8	was in the form of a question that they were supposed
9	to answer. The candidates for the job were supposed
10	to answer.
11	Q Now, after this committee interview, did
12	you have any further contact with Ms. Sawaya before
13	she actually became the station's general manager?
14	A The answer is, yes, I did.
15	Q And approximately
16	A I did have some contact again in late
17	at the end of 2000 and the very beginning of 2001.
18	Q And what was the nature of that contact?
19	A She asked me if I had any news about the
20	job position. Are they going to hire or not? She
21	wanted to know if I had any inside information. And
22	I tried in various conversations to ask the central

1	office, are you going to hire her, when is she going
2	to find out?
3	They hadn't actually it had been a
4	number of months had gone by at this point, I think.
5	And she just she wanted to know should she take
6	another job or should she sit and wait, wait for them
7	to offer her the job or what? And I wasn't getting
8	any I wasn't getting an answer one way or another
9	from the school district people up until early 2001.
10	And so we had a couple of phone we had
11	several phone conversations, and that was the tone of
12	them. If I knew if I had any news, or what.
13	Q During any of those phone conversations,
14	did you tell Ms. Sawaya about the license renewal
15	challenge?
16	A At that time, I we didn't discuss I
17	don't recall even discussing the station at that time.
18	We were discussing the position. General managership.
19	Q Now, during the from the time you first
20	contacted Ms. Sawaya and let her know that there was
21	this job possibility to the point where she was hired
22	and came on board as the station's general manager,

1	did you have any knowledge that Ms. Sawaya and Mr.
2	Ramirez were acquainted with each other?
3	A Other than we were all in the same
4	business of public radio stations together, I don't
5	recall specifically if I knew that they knew each
6	other or, or what if they had any kind of
7	relationship. But given we were all in public radio
8	it wouldn't have surprised me if they did know each
9	other.
10	Q Did there come a time when you became
11	aware that they were in fact acquainted with each
12	other?
13	A I think I remember at some point in this
14	asking when I was when we were still looking for a
15	general manager, or even if, if, what if I might
16	have had a phone conversation with Jeff Ramirez and at
17	that time he was here working in Washington sometime
18	in 2000, asking him if he knew anybody around the Bay
19	Area here who might be, or if he, what he thought
20	about Nicole Sawaya to get an opinion.
21	And I think he did have an opinion about

Positive. Positive opinion.

her.

1		Q	So	you	were	speaking	with	Jeff	when?
---	--	---	----	-----	------	----------	------	------	-------

A I think I had the conversation with him maybe in 2000. At this point, the -- like I say, the school district was potentially going to hire a new general manager and I had a conversation with him. That would have been the purpose of the conversation. Something about the general managership being opened and, I don't even recall at that point if Nicole had actually expressed interest yet or not, or if the interview process had happened.

Q Now, according to Ms. Sawaya's testimony at page 5, she said that you told her that Jeff Ramirez, then at CPB, had recommended that you call Ms. Sawaya. Does that ring any bells?

I stated, the -- I may have called Jeff to ask him if he knew anybody who might be interested and maybe one of the names he came up with was Nicole, and like I say I already had known -- I knew of Nicole, too, since I'd met her. So that could have been the -- that could have been my -- what caused me to call Nicole as well.

1	Q Now, after getting this, well, shall we
2	say, recommendation from Jeff, did you have any did
3	you speak with Jeff again about Nicole Sawaya before
4	she was actually hired as the general manager and came
5	on board?
6	A I don't recall having a conversation with
7	him again about, about Nicole Sawaya.
8	Q Now, did you, did you call Jeff at CPB?
9	A Regarding the general managership and the
10	conversation about I might have I probably
11	called him. I knew he worked for CPB.
12	Q I mean, you knew how to get a hold of him
13	if you had to.
14	A I had I knew he worked for CPB, so I
15	probably knew how to yes, I knew how to get a hold
16	of him.
17	Q During that conversation that you had with
18	Jeff, I mean, admittedly the subject was about KALW
19	looking for a new general manager, did you happen to
20	mention to Jeff that the license renewal challenge was
21	still pending?
22	A I don't recall if that was part of our